

# Soft Fixed-Point Theorems in Generalized S-Metric Spaces

Ramakant Bhardwaj

Department of Mathematics, APS University Rewa ( M.P.)

Email: rkbhardwaj100@gmail.com

## Abstract

In the present research paper, some soft fixed-point theorems are proved in generalized S metric space for rational mappings. The established results satisfy well known results on fixed point theory in specified conditions.

Key Words: Soft fixed point, S- metric space, EA Property, compatible mapping

## Introduction and Preliminaries:

The problems in many fields deal with uncertain data and are not successfully modelled in classical mathematics. For this purpose, the theory of soft sets initiated by Molodstov [12] and established the fundamental results of this new concept. Das and Samanta [6,7] introducing the concept of soft metric spaces which is based on soft point of soft sets. In 2012, S. Sedghi, et al.[17] introduced the notion of S-metric which is generalization of G-metric spaces [13] and  $D^*$  metric [16]. Also, Sedghi and N.V. Dung [18] investigated some generalized fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces which is generalization of [17]. Aamri and Moutawakil [1] introduced E.A. property which is generalization of non-compatible mapping in metric spaces. In the present paper the notion of soft S-metric spaces which is generalization of S-metric spaces is discussed and some soft fixed point theorems are established in soft s-metric space. Obtained results are motivated by [17-18]. Throughout this paper,

$X$  = Initial universe,  $A$ = Set of parameters ,  $(X)$  = Power set of  $X$ .

**Definition [ Modification in 17]**

$\tilde{X}$  = absolute soft set,  $A$  = set of parameters  $\neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{E}(\tilde{X})$  = collection of all soft points of  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}(A)^*$  = set of all non-negative soft real numbers.

A mapping  $S: \mathbb{S}\mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}) \times \mathbb{S}\mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}) \times \mathbb{S}\mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a soft S-metric on  $\tilde{X}$  if  $S$  satisfies the following axioms holds for all  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{a} \in \tilde{X}$ ,

$$(S_1) \quad \theta \lesssim S(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}),$$

$$(S_2) \quad S(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) = \theta \text{ iff } \tilde{x} = \tilde{y} = \tilde{z}$$

$$(S_3) \quad S(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \lesssim S(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{a}) + S(\tilde{y}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{a}) + S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{a})$$

Then, the soft set  $\tilde{X}$  with a soft S-metric  $S$  on  $\tilde{X}$  is called a soft S-metric space and is denoted by  $(\tilde{X}, S, A)$  or  $(\tilde{X}, S)$ .

Let  $(\tilde{X}, S)$  be soft S-metric space. If every Cauchy sequence of soft elements in  $\tilde{X}$  is convergent in  $\tilde{X}$ , then  $(\tilde{X}, S)$  is called complete soft S-metric space.

A mapping  $T: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is called soft contraction if  $S(T\tilde{x}, T\tilde{x}, T\tilde{y}) \lesssim \rho \cdot S(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$  for all  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{X}$  with  $\rho \in [0, 1)$ .

Some remarks are to be given here which are motivated by [6-7, 12, 17]

- (i) Soft S-metric  $(\tilde{X}, S)$  is jointly continuous on all three variables.
- (ii) Let  $(\tilde{X}, S)$  be soft S-metric space  $\Rightarrow S(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = S(\tilde{y}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{x})$  for all  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{X}$ .
- (iii) In soft S-metric space. If there exists sequence  $\{\tilde{x}_n\}$  and  $\{\tilde{y}_n\}$  be the soft elements in  $\tilde{X}$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{x}_n \rightarrow \tilde{x}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow \tilde{y}$ , then
 
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_n, \tilde{y}_n) = S(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}).$$
- (iv) Let  $(\tilde{X}, S)$  be soft S-metric space and  $T: (\tilde{X}, S) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, S)$  be mapping. If there exist soft elements  $\tilde{x}_0 \in \tilde{X}$  such that  $T\tilde{x}_0 = \tilde{x}_0$ , then the  $\tilde{x}_0$  is called soft fixed point in  $T$ .

## Main Results:

Results are established for generalization of S- metric space as soft S-metric space.

**Theorem 2.1:**  $\tilde{X}$  be a soft set. Let  $(\tilde{X}, S)$  be complete soft S-metric space.  $F$  and  $T$  are compatible mapping, such that map  $F, T: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  satisfies:

(i)  $F(\tilde{X}) \subseteq T(\tilde{X})$ ,  $F$  or  $T$  is continuous;

$$(ii) S(F\tilde{x}, F\tilde{y}, F\tilde{z}) \leq \alpha \cdot S(T\tilde{x}, T\tilde{y}, T\tilde{z}) + \delta \left[ \frac{S(F\tilde{x}, F\tilde{y}, T\tilde{z}) + S(T\tilde{x}, T\tilde{y}, F\tilde{z})}{1 + S(F\tilde{x}, F\tilde{y}, T\tilde{z}) \cdot S(T\tilde{x}, T\tilde{y}, F\tilde{z}) S(T\tilde{x}, T\tilde{y}, T\tilde{z})} \right] \quad [2.1.i]$$

For all  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in \tilde{X}$  and  $\alpha, \delta \geq 0$  with  $\alpha + 3\delta < 1$ . Then  $F$  and  $T$  has unique common soft fixed point.

**Proof:** For any arbitrary  $\tilde{x}_0 \in \tilde{X}$ , define a sequence  $\{\tilde{y}_n\}$  in  $\tilde{X}$  such that

$$\tilde{y}_n = F\tilde{x}_n = T\tilde{x}_{n+1} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now to show that  $\{\tilde{y}_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence consider

$$S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_{n+1}) = S(F\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_{n+1})$$

$$S(F\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_{n+1}) \leq \alpha \cdot S(T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_{n+1})$$

$$+ \delta \left[ \frac{S(F\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_{n+1}) + S(T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_{n+1})}{1 + S(F\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_{n+1}) \cdot S(T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_{n+1}) S(T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_{n+1})} \right]$$

$$\leq \alpha \cdot S(\tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_n) + \delta \left[ \frac{S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n) + S(\tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_{n+1})}{1 + S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n) \cdot S(\tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_{n+1}) S(\tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_n)} \right]$$

$$\leq \alpha \cdot S(\tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_n) + 2\delta \cdot S(\tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_n) + \delta \cdot S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_{n+1})$$

$$S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha + 2\delta) S(\tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_n) + (\delta) S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_{n+1})$$

$$S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_{n+1}) \leq \frac{(\alpha + 2\delta)}{(1 - \delta)} S(\tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_n)$$

$$S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_{n+1}) \leq K \cdot S(\tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_n), \text{ Where } K = \frac{(\alpha + 2\delta)}{(1 - \delta)} < 1$$

Continuing this process,  $S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_{n+1}) \leq K^n \cdot S(\tilde{y}_0, \tilde{y}_0, \tilde{y}_1)$  [2.1.ii]

To prove that  $\{\tilde{y}_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence, taking  $m, n \in N$  with  $m > n$

$$S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_m) \leq S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_{n+1}) + S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_{n+1}) + S(\tilde{y}_{n+1}, \tilde{y}_{n+1}, \tilde{y}_m)$$

$$\leq 2 S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_{n+1}) + S(\tilde{y}_{n+1}, \tilde{y}_{n+1}, \tilde{y}_m)$$

$$S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_m) \leq 2 \frac{K^n}{1 - K} S(\tilde{y}_0, \tilde{y}_0, \tilde{y}_1)$$

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S(\tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{y}_m) = 0$$

Therefore  $\{\tilde{y}_n\}$  is a S-Cauchy sequence in  $\tilde{X}$ . Since  $(\tilde{X}, S)$  is complete soft S-metric space so  $\exists \tilde{z}$  in  $\tilde{X}$  such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{y}_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F\tilde{x}_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T\tilde{x}_{n+1} = \tilde{z}$$

Again, since  $F$  and  $T$  is continuous for definiteness  $\Rightarrow T$  is continuous, therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T\tilde{y}_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TQ\tilde{x}_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TT\tilde{x}_{n+1} = T\tilde{z}$$

Further  $F$  and  $T$  are compatible,  $\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(FT\tilde{x}_n, FT\tilde{x}_n, FQ\tilde{x}_n) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} FT\tilde{x}_n = T\tilde{z} \quad [2.1.iii]$$

$$\Rightarrow S(FT\tilde{x}_n, QT\tilde{x}_n, Q\tilde{x}_n) \leq \alpha.S(TT\tilde{x}_n, TT\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n) + \delta \left[ \frac{S(FT\tilde{x}_n, FT\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n) + S(TT\tilde{x}_n, TT\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_n)}{1 + S(FT\tilde{x}_n, FT\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n).S(TT\tilde{x}_n, TT\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_n)S(TT\tilde{x}_n, TT\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n)} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{limit } n \rightarrow \infty (S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z})) &\leq \alpha.S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}) \\ &+ \delta \left[ \frac{S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}) + S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z})}{1 + S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}).S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z})S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z})} \right] \\ &\leq (\alpha)S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}) + 2\delta \left[ \frac{S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z})}{1 + S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}).S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z})S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z})} \right] \\ &\leq (\alpha)S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}) + 2\delta S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}) \\ &\leq (\alpha + 2\delta)S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}) \end{aligned}$$

It is possible only if  $S(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}) = 0$  iff  $T\tilde{z} = \tilde{z}$ .

$$\Rightarrow S(F\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{z}) \leq \alpha.S(T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{z}) + \delta \left[ \frac{S(F\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{z}) + S(T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{z})}{1 + S(F\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{z}).S(T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n, F\tilde{z})S(T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{x}_n, T\tilde{z})} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{limit } n \rightarrow \infty (S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, Q\tilde{z})) &\leq \alpha.S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}) + \delta \left[ \frac{S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}) + S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, Q\tilde{z})}{1 + S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}).S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, Q\tilde{z})S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z})} \right] \\ S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}) &\leq (\delta)S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}) \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality is possible only if  $S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, Q\tilde{z}) = 0$  iff  $F\tilde{z} = \tilde{z}$ . Thus  $\tilde{z}$  is common Soft fixed point of  $F$  and  $T$ .

**Uniqueness:** If  $\tilde{z} \neq \tilde{z}_0$  be two distinct common soft fixed point of  $F$  and  $T$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0) &= S(F\tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}_0) \\ \Rightarrow S(F\tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}_0) &\leq \alpha.(F\tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}_0) + \delta \left[ \frac{(F\tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}_0) + (T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}_0)}{1 + (F\tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}_0).(T\tilde{z}, T\tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}_0)(F\tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}, F\tilde{z}_0) +} \right] \\ S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0) &\leq \alpha.S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0) \\ &+ \delta \left[ \frac{S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0) + S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0)}{1 + S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0).S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0)S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0)} \right] \\ &\leq (\alpha)S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0) + 2\delta \left[ \frac{S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0)}{1 + S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0).S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0)S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0)} \right] \\ &\leq (\alpha + 2\delta)S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0) \end{aligned}$$

It is possible only if  $S(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0) = 0$  iff  $\tilde{z} = \tilde{z}_0$ .

$\Rightarrow$  common Soft fixed point of  $F$  and  $T$  is unique.

**Theorem 2.2:** Let  $(\tilde{X}, S)$  be soft S-metric space with soft set  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $F, T: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  be a pair of weakly compatible self-mappings satisfies:

- (i) (E.A.) property satisfies by  $F$  and  $T$  with  $T(\tilde{X})$  is closed subspace of  $\tilde{X}$  ;
- (ii)  $S(F\tilde{x}, F\tilde{y}, F\tilde{z}) \leq \alpha.S(T\tilde{x}, T\tilde{y}, T\tilde{z}) + \delta \left[ \frac{S(F\tilde{x}, F\tilde{y}, T\tilde{z}) + S(T\tilde{x}, T\tilde{y}, F\tilde{z})}{1 + S(F\tilde{x}, F\tilde{y}, T\tilde{z}).S(T\tilde{x}, T\tilde{y}, F\tilde{z})S(T\tilde{x}, T\tilde{y}, T\tilde{z})} \right]$  ( 2.2.i)

$\forall \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in \tilde{X}$  and  $\alpha, \delta \geq 0$  with  $\alpha + \delta < 1$ . Then  $F$  and  $T$  has unique common Soft fixed point.

**Proof:** It can be proved as Theorem 2.1

**Theorem 2.3.:** Let  $(\tilde{X}, S)$  be complete soft S-metric space with soft set  $\tilde{X}$ . and  $F, G, H : (\tilde{X}, S) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, S)$  satisfying :

$$S(F\tilde{x}, G\tilde{y}, H\tilde{z}) \leq \alpha \cdot S(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) + \delta \left[ \frac{S(\tilde{y}, G\tilde{y}, H\tilde{z}) + S(F\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{y})}{1 + S(\tilde{y}, G\tilde{y}, H\tilde{z}) \cdot S(F\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{y}) \cdot S(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})} \right] \quad [2.3.i]$$

For all  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in \tilde{X}$  with  $\alpha, \delta \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha + \delta < 1$ . Then  $F, G$  and  $H$  has unique common soft fixed point in  $\tilde{X}$ .

**Proof:** It can be proved as Theorem 2.1

**Remark:** All the established results can be generalized for integral type mappings motivated by [4]

## References:

- [1] Aamri M. and D.El. Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, "Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications", 270(2002), 181-188.
- [2] Bhardwaj, R. K., Rajput, S. S., Yadava, R. N., Application of fixed point theory in metric spaces, Thai Journal of Mathematics.5 (2), (2007), 253-259
- [3] Banach, S. ,Surles operation dansles ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals, Find. Math. 3, (1922) 133-181.
- [4] B.E. Rhoades, Two fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type. International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 63 (2003), 4007 - 4013.
- [5] Ciric, D. Mihet, R. Saadati, Monotone generalized contractions in partiality ordered probabilistic metric spaces, "Topology Appl.", 17(2009), 2838-2844.
- [6] Das S., S. K. Samanta, On soft metric spaces, "J. Fuzzy Math." 21 (3) (2013) 707-734.
- [7] Das S., S. K. Samanta, Soft metric, "Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform." 6 (2013), no. 1, 77-94.
- [8] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points, "Amer. Math. Monthly" 83 (1976) 261263.
- [9] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, "Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.", 9(1986), 771-779.
- [10] Jong K. Kim, S. Sedghi, A. Gholidahneb and M. Rezaee, Fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces, "East Asian math. J." Vol.32, (2016), No.5, 677-684.
- [11] Maji P. K., R. Biswas, A. R. Roy, **Soft set theory**, "Comput. Math. Appl." 45 (4), (2003), 555-562.
- [12] Molodtsov D., Soft set theory-first results, "Comput. Math. Appl." 37 (4) (1999) 19-31.
- [13] Mustafa Z., H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces, "Fixed Point Theory and plications", 2008 (2008), Article ID 189870, 1-12.
- [14] R.P. Pant, Common fixed points of four maps, "Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 90" (1998), 281-286.
- [15] Rahman M., M. Sarwar and Muhib UR Rahman, Some common fixed point theorems on S-metric spaces, "J. fixed point theory", 2017, 2017:2, 1-15.
- [16] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, H. Zhou, A common fixed point theorem in D\*-metric spaces, "Fixed Point Theory Applications", 2007 (2007), Article ID 27906, 1-13.
- [17] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorem in S-metric spaces, "Mat. Vesnik", 64(2012), 258-266.
- [18] S. Sedghi and V.N. Dung, Fixed point theorems on S-metric spaces, "Mat. Veshik", 66(2014), 113-124.
- [19] S. Sedghi and I. Altun, N. Shobe, M.A. Shalahshour, Some properties of S-metric spaces and foxed point results, "Kyungpook Math J.", 54(2014), 113- 122.