

# RESULT WITH DISLOCATED 2-METRIC SPACE

Jitendra Singhvi , Rashmi Tiwari\*, Shefali Vijayvergiya\*\*  
Department of Mathematics, Govt. Polytechnic Harda (M.P.)

\*Department of Mathematics, Govt. N.M.V. Hoshangabad (M.P.) \*\* Research Scholar (Mathematics)

## Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to present some fixed point theorem in dislocated quasi 2-metric space for expansive type mappings.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54H25

**Keywords:** Dislocated Quasi 2-Metric space, fixed point.

## Introduction and Preliminaries:

It is well known that Banach Contraction mappings principle is one of the pivotal results of analysis. Generalizations of this principle have been obtained in several directions .Dass and Gupta [1] generalized Banach's Contraction principle in metric space. Also Rhoades [2] established a partial ordering for various definitions of contractive mappings. In 2005, Zeyada Salunke [4] proved some results on fixed point in dislocated quasimetric spaces. In 2005, Zeyada et al.[3] established a fixed point theorem in dislocated quasimetric spaces. In 2008, Aage and Salunke [4] proved some results on fixed point in dislocated quasimetric spaces. Recently, Isufati [5], proved fixed point theorem for contractive type condition with rational expression in dislocated quasimetric spaces. The following definitions will be needed in the sequel.

**Definition 1.1**(See [3]). Let  $X$  be a nonempty set, and let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function, called a distance function. One needs the following conditions:

$$(M1) \quad d(x, x) = 0,$$

$$(M2) \quad d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0, \text{ then } x = y$$

$$(M3) \quad d(x, y) = d(y, x),$$

$$(M4) \quad d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y),$$

$$(M4)' \quad d(x, y) \leq \max \{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X$$

.If  $d$  satisfies conditions (M1)-(M4), then it is called a metric on  $X$  . If  $d$  satisfies conditions (M1), (M2), and (M4), it is called a quasimetric on  $X$  . If it satisfies conditions (M2)-(M4) ((M2) and (M4)), it is called a dislocated metric (or simply d-

metric) (a dislocated quasimetric (or simply dq-metric)) on  $X$  , respectively. If a metric  $d$  satisfies the strong triangle inequality (M)' , then it is called an ultrametric.

**Definition 1.2** (See [3]). A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in dq-metric space (dislocated quasimetric space)  $(X, d)$  is called a Cauchy sequence if , for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$  or  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$  , that is ,  $\min \{d(x_m, x_n), d(x_n, x_m)\} < \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n \geq n_0$ .

**Definition 1.3** (See [3]). A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in dq-metric space [d-metric space] is said to be d-converge to  $x \in X$  provided that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

In this case,  $x$  is called a dq-limit [d-limit] of  $\{x_n\}$  and we write  $x_n \rightarrow x$  .

**Definition 1.4** (See [3]). A dq-metric space  $(X, d)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in it is a dq-convergent.

## Main Results

In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorem for continuous mapping satisfying expansion condition in complete dq 2-metric space. This result is motivated by Saluja et.al [6]

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dislocated 2-metric space and  $T$  a continuous mappings satisfying the following condition:

$$d(Tx, Ty, a) + \alpha \left[ \frac{d(x, Ty, a) + d(y, Tx, a)}{1 + d(x, Ty, a)d(y, Tx, a)} \right] \geq \beta \frac{d(x, Tx, a)[1 + d(y, Ty, a)]}{1 + d(x, y, a)} + \gamma d(x, y, a) \quad (2.1)$$

For all  $x, y \in X$  ,  $x \neq y$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$  are real constants and  $\beta + \gamma > 1 + 2\alpha$ ,  $\gamma > 1 + \alpha$ ,  $a > 0$ . Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $X$  .

**Proof:** Choose  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary, to define the iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$  as follows and  $Tx_n = x_{n-1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Then, using (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}, a) + \alpha \left[ \frac{d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}, a) + d(x_{n+2}, Tx_{n+1}, a)}{1 + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}, a) + d(x_{n+2}, Tx_{n+1}, a)} \right] \geq \beta \frac{d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, a) [1 + d(x_{n+2}, Tx_{n+2}, a)]}{1 + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a)} \\ & + \gamma d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) \\ \Rightarrow & d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + \alpha \left[ \frac{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, a) + d(x_{n+2}, x_n, a)}{1 + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, a) + d(x_{n+2}, x_n, a)} \right] \geq \beta \frac{d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) [1 + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, a)]}{1 + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a)} + \gamma d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) \\ \Rightarrow & d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + \alpha d(x_{n+2}, x_n, a) \geq \beta d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + \gamma d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) \\ \Rightarrow & d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + \alpha d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) \geq \beta d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + \gamma d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) \\ \Rightarrow & (1 + \alpha - \beta) d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \geq (\gamma - \alpha) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) & \leq \left( \frac{1 + \alpha - \beta}{\gamma - \alpha} \right) d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \\ & \leq kd(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Where  $k = \frac{1 + \alpha - \beta}{\gamma - \alpha} < 1$ . Hence by induction, we obtain

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) \leq k^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1, a)$$

Note that, for  $m, n \in N$  such that  $m > n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, x_n, a) & \leq d(x_m, x_{m-1}, a) + d(x_{m-1}, x_{m-2}, a) + \dots + d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) \\ & \leq [k^{m-1} + k^{m-2} + \dots + k^n] d(x_0, x_1, a) \\ & \leq k^n (1 + k + k^2 + \dots + k^{m-n-1}) d(x_0, x_1, a) \\ & \leq k^n \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} k^r d(x_0, x_1, a) \\ & = \frac{k^n}{1 - k} d(x_0, x_1, a) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Since  $0 \leq k < 1$ , then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k^n (1 - k)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ . Hence,  $d(x_m, x_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ . This forces that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . But  $X$  is a complete dislocated metric space; hence,  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$  is d-converges. Call the d-limit  $x^* \in X$ . Then,  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By continuity of  $T$  we have,

$$Tx^* = T \left( d - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \right) = d - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = d - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n-1} = x^* \tag{2.4}$$

That is,  $Tx^* = x^*$ ; thus,  $T$  has a fixed point in  $X$ .

### Uniqueness

Let  $y^*$  be another fixed point of  $T$  in  $X$ , then  $Ty^* = y^*$  and  $Tx^* = x^*$

$$d(Tx^*, Ty^*, a) + \alpha \left[ \frac{d(x^*, Ty^*, a) + d(y^*, Tx^*, a)}{1 + d(x^*, Ty^*, a) + d(y^*, Tx^*, a)} \right] \geq \beta \frac{d(x^*, Tx^*, a) [1 + d(y^*, Ty^*, a)]}{1 + d(x^*, y^*, a)} + \gamma d(x^*, y^*, a) \tag{2.5}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, y^*, a) + \alpha \left[ \frac{d(x^*, y^*, a) + d(y^*, x^*, a)}{1 + d(x^*, y^*, a) + d(y^*, x^*, a)} \right] & \geq \beta \frac{d(x^*, x^*, a) [1 + d(y^*, y^*, a)]}{1 + d(x^*, y^*, a)} + \gamma d(x^*, y^*, a) \\ \Rightarrow d(x^*, y^*, a) + \frac{2\alpha d(x^*, y^*, a)}{1 + [d(x^*, y^*, a)]^2} & \geq \gamma d(x^*, y^*, a) \\ \Rightarrow d(x^*, y^*, a) + [d(x^*, y^*, a)]^3 + 2\alpha d(x^*, y^*, a) & \geq \gamma d(x^*, y^*, a) + \gamma [d(x^*, y^*, a)]^3 \\ \Rightarrow (1 + 2\alpha - \gamma) d(x^*, y^*, a) & \geq (\gamma - 1) [d(x^*, y^*, a)]^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$d(x^*, y^*, a) \leq \left( \frac{1 + 2\alpha - \gamma}{\gamma - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} d(x^*, y^*, a) \tag{2.6}$$

This is true only when  $d(x^*, x^*, a) = 0$ . Similarly  $d(y^*, x^*, a) = 0$ . Hence  $d(x^*, y^*, a) = d(y^*, x^*, a) = 0$  and so  $x^* = y^*$ . Hence,  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

### References:

[1] B.K.Dass and S.Gupta, "An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression", Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol.6, no.12, PP.1455-1458, 1975.  
[2] B.E.Rhoades, "A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings," Transaction of the American Mathematical Society, Vol.226, PP 257-290, 1977.

- [3] F.M. Zeyada, G.H.Hassan, and M.A.Ahmed, "A generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi-metric spaces," *The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering A*, Vol.31, no.1, PP. 111-114, 2006.
- [4] C.T.Aage and J.N.Salunke, "The results on fixed points in dislocated and quasi-metric space," *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol.2, no.57-60, PP.2941-2948, 2008.
- [5] A.Isufati, "Fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi-metric space," *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol.4, no.5-8, PP. 217-223, 2010.
- [6] A.S.Saluja, Alkesh Kumar Dhakde, Devkrishna Magarde "Some Fixed Point Theorem for Expansive Type Mapping in Dislocated Metric Space" *Mathematical Theory and Modeling* Vol.3, No.6, (2013), 113-115.