

Rajesh Malwiya, Vivek Rai Electrical and Electronics Engineering, LNCT, Bhopal, India E-Mail: rajesh.malwiya@gmail.com, vivek11dec1982@gmail.com

Abstract

Different parameter change during the industrial operation and due to this changes system going to be unstable and hence and error is occurred in the system. Systems are unable to overcome these types of error and hence process should be interrupted or outcomes are not corrected. To remove such problems need some controller to overcome the errors and made system stable. In generally PI controller used for controlling the industrial process. By proper tuning of such controller parameter system can be controlled more accurately. In this work proposed a new particle swarm optimization technique with constriction factor for optimum tuning of the PI controller parameters, were PI controller is used for the speed control of induction motor. First of all we find out the optimum tuned value of PI constants and then it is applied to the vector control method of IM. Results obtained by these tuned value of PI controller gives the smooth control of 3 phase induction motor. It seen form PSO is a social based optimization techniques inspired by fish schooling or birds This PSO technique has the ability to obtain the flocking. optimum solution of the nonlinear problem.

Key words:- polyphone Induction motor, Particle swarm optimization(PSO), PSO with constriction factor(CPSO), Proportional plus integral (PI) controller, vector control.

1.Introduction

The induction motor, which is the most widely used motor type in the industry, has been favored because of its good selfstarting capability, simple and rugged structure, low cost and reliability. Since it is estimated that more than 50% of the world electric energy is generated and consumed by electric machines, to improve efficiency of electric drives are important [1-2]. Generally, induction motors require both wide operating range of speed and fast torque response in operational conditions, regardless of load variations. Namely, induction motors have a high efficiency at rated speed and torque. Used in adjustable speed drive systems. Induction motors have been widely used in various industries as actuators or drivers to produce mechanical motions and forces.

Induction motors are the most widely used electrical motors due to their reliability, low cost and robustness. Induction motors have been widely used in various industries as actuators or drivers to produce mechanical motions and forces. However, induction motors do not inherently have the capability of variable speed operation. Due to this reason, earlier dc motors were applied in most of the electrical drives. But the recent developments in speed control methods of the induction motor have led to their large scale use in almost all electrical drives.

Induction motors play a vital role in the industrial sector especially in the field of electric drives and control. Without proper controlling of the speed, it is impossible to achieve the desired task for a specific application. Since induction motors are manufactured in different sizes and for a variety of applications, a challenge for the variable speed drives industry is to develop efficient yet versatile control algorithms [3]. The strategies of controlling induction motors can be divided into two groups: the first one is scalar control that uses voltage and frequency of machine's supply in order to adjust rotor speed. The second method is vector control of motor variables transformed into an orthogonal set of d-q axes such that speed and torque can be separately controlled. Often, vector control is preferred because of fast and accurate response, quick recovery from any disturbances, and insensitivity to parameter variations [4].

Its efficient control requires a convenient model with accurate parameters. In order to achieve the control objectives, there are some approaches were presented in the resent years. Conventional control makes use of the mathematical model for the controlling of the system. When there are system parametric variations or environmental disturbance, behavior of system is not satisfactory and deviates from the desired performance [10]. The classical control is used in majority of the electrical motor drives. To obtain the exact mathematic model of the system, one has to do some identification techniques such as the system identification and obtain the plant model. Moreover, the design and tuning of conventional controller increases the implementation cost and adds additional complexity in the control system and thus, may reduce the reliability of the control system.

There are a number of significant control methods available for induction motors including scalar control, vector or fieldoriented control, direct torque and flux control, sliding mode control, and the adaptive control. The artificial intelligent approaches are widely used in control goals, such as authors of [5] proposed the PSO technique for the optimal solution of PI fed induction motor. Article [6] proposed the application of Hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization (HPSO) for losses and operating cost minimization control in the induction motor drives. The main advantages of the proposed technique are;



it's simple structure and its straightforward maximization of induction motor efficiency and its operating cost for a given load torque. A nonlinear adaptive controller is proposed for speed and torque control [7] of induction motors with unknown rotor resistance.

A novel hybrid [8] solution for the LCI based induction motor drive using a parallel assembly of an LCI and a voltage source inverter (VSI), is proposed. The operation of the proposed circuit is investigated and described. It is shown that all problems caused by the output capacitors and the dccommutation circuit in the conventional LCI-based induction motor system can be overcome by the proposed solution. Article [9] deals with robust estimation of rotor flux and speed for sensor less control of motion control systems with an induction motor. Instead of using sixth-order extended Kalman filters (EKFs), rotor flux is estimated by means of a fourth-order descriptor-type robust KF, which explicitly takes into account motor parameter uncertainties, whereas the speed is estimated using a recursive least squares algorithm starting from the knowledge of the rotor flux itself.

The major objective of this work is to find out effective value of the PI controller using PSO for the speed control of a direct field oriented Control Induction motor drive for a simple speed demand problem as well as for a complex speed problem. Here PSO have been applied to search for the optimal PI controller parameters of FOC IM drive. The error criteria for both the methods are set to improve transient error and steady state error. Hence the fitness function is taken here are Integral Square Error (ISE), Integral Absolute Error (IAE) and Integral Time Square Error (ITSE) [6], [8],[14]. The performance of optimization techniques in terms of convergence rate, error minimization and time complexity are compared with other methods listed in the literature.

2. Induction Motor

An, induction motor model to predict the voltage required achieving a desired output torque is given in Fig.1.shows the power circuit of the 3-phase induction motor connection. The conventional field-oriented controller (FOC) normally operates at rated flux at any values within its torque range. When the load is reduced considerably, the core losses become so high, causing poor efficiency. If significant energy savings are required, it is necessary to optimize the efficiency of the motor. The optimum efficiency is obtained by the evaluation of the optimal rotor flux level.





Natural creatures typically behave as a swarm. One among the most streams of artificial life researches is to look at however natural creatures behave as a swarm and reconfigure the swarm models within a computer. Swarm behavior will be sculptured with a number of straightforward rules. Fish schooling and swarm of birds will be sculptured with such straightforward models. Consistent with the analysis results flock birds, of birds for а find food by flocking (not by every individual). The observation leads the idea that every one info is shared within flocking. PSO is largely developed through simulation of bird flocking in two-dimension space).

3.1 Representation of the swarm for problem

If there are n- solutions, the particle position is represented as a vector of length.

$$S_i = (P_{i1}, P_{i2}, \dots \dots P_{in})$$
 (1)

Where, S_i is the position vector.

3.2 Initialization of the Swarm

Each element of the swarm is initialized randomly within the effective operating limits. The particles are initialized as follows as given in eq. (2) and the velocity of particles initialized as given in eq. (3)

$$P_{\text{initial}} = P_{\text{min}} + \text{rand} * (P_{\text{max}} - P_{\text{min}})$$
(2)

 $V_{\text{initial}} = V_{\text{min}} + \text{rand} * (V_{\text{max}} - V_{\text{min}})$ (3)

Where, rand is a random positive number between 0-1.

$$V_{max} = (P_{max} - P_{min}) * 0.5$$
 (4)

$$V_{\min} = -V_{\max} \tag{5}$$

3.3 Moving the particles

The particles in the swarm are moved to new positions with the help of new velocities. The velocity and the position of the k^{th} dimension of the ith particle are updated as follows

$$V^{k+1} = W V^k + c_1 Rand_1 \times (P_{best} - S^k) + c_2 Rand_2 \times$$

$$\left(g_{\text{best}} - S^k\right) \tag{6}$$

$$S^{k+1} = S^k + V^{k+1}$$
(7)

$$W = W_{max} - \frac{W_{max} - W_{min}}{iter_{max}} \times iter$$
(8)

Where, pbest = (pbest , pbest , ..., pbest) is the best previous position yielding the best fitness value for the ith particle; and gbest = (gbest ,gbest ,...,gbest) is the best position discovered by the whole population. c_1 and c_2 are the acceleration constants reflecting the weighting of stochastic acceleration terms that pull each particle toward p_{best} and gbest positions,



respectively. rand₁ and rand₂ are two random numbers in the range [0, 1].

3.2. CPSO

In this section, for getting the better global solution, the traditional PSO algorithm is improved by adjusting the weight parameter, cognitive and social factors. Based on (8), the velocity of individual i of CPSO algorithm is rewritten as

$$V_{i}^{(K+1)} = C * \{V_{i}^{K} + c_{1}Rand_{1} \times (Pbest_{i} - S_{i}^{K}) + c_{2}Rand_{2} \times (gbest - S_{i}^{K})\}$$
(9)

$$C = \frac{2}{\left|2 - \phi - \sqrt{\phi^2} - 4\phi\right|}$$
(10)

Where, C is the constriction factor, and $\emptyset = c_1 + c_2$

Typically, when the constriction factor is used, the value of ϕ is set to 4.1 (i.e. c_1 , $c_2 = 2.05$) and the constant multiplier C is thus 0.729.

4. PROPOSED METHOD

In this work PSO is used for the tuning of PI controller parameters as shown in fig. 2., for optimal regulation of rotor speed at the desire speed. The general structure of the PI controller. The general block diagram of the PI speed controller is shown in Fig. 3. The output of the speed controller (torque command) at n-th instant is expressed as follows:



Fig.2 Block diagram of induction motor speed control using PSO



Fig. 3 Block diagram of the PI controller used for speed control of induction motor

$$T_{e(n)} = T_{e(n-1)} + k_p \Delta \omega_{re(n)} + k_i \Delta \omega_{re(n)}$$
(11)

Input can be define as

$$u(t) = k_p * e(t) + k_i \int e(t) dt \tag{12}$$

That K_i and K_p are proportional and integral coefficient in PI controller.

Proportional integral (PI) controller can be used to control the speed of IM. The PI controller is normally avoided because differentiation can be problematic when input command is a step. Generally, the speed error, which is the difference of reference speed ($\omega_r(n)$) and actual speed ($\omega_a(n)$), is given as input to the controllers. These speed controllers process the speed error and give torque value as an input. Then the torque value is fed to the limiter, which gives the final value of reference torque.

The speed error and change in speed error at n-th instant of time are given as

$$\omega_{re(n)} = \omega_{r(n)} - \omega_{a(n)} \tag{13}$$

$$\Delta \omega_{re(n)} = \omega_{r(n)} - \omega_{a(n-1)} \tag{14}$$

In PI controller design methods, the most common performance criteria are integrated absolute error (IAE), the integrated of time weight square error (ITSE), integrated of squared error (ISE) and integrated of time weight absolute error (ITAE) that can be evaluated analytically in the frequency domain[11, 13]. These four integral performance criteria in the frequency domain have their own advantage and disadvantages. For example, disadvantage of the IAE and ISE criteria is that its minimization can result in a response with relatively small overshoot but a long settling time because the ISE performance criterion weights all errors equally independent of time.

Although the ITSE performance criterion can overcome the disadvantage of the ISE criterion, the derivation processes of the analytical formula are complex and timeconsuming [11, 12]. The IAE, ISE, ITAE and ITSE performance criterion formulas are as follows:

$$IAE = \int_0^\infty t \, dt \tag{15}$$

$$ISE = \int_0^T (e^2) dt$$
 (16)

$$ITAE = \int_0^T t(e) dt \tag{17}$$

$$ITSE = \int_0^T t(e^2) dt \tag{18}$$

5.Vector control method

There are two types of vector control methods; direct and indirect field oriented control. In direct vector control method



the rotor flux is estimated either by using flux sensor in the air gap or estimating it by sensing stator voltages. In indirect vector control the rotor flux is estimated using field oriented control equations which need instantaneous speed information. The direct vector control is very difficult to implement practically for low speed application. The indirect field oriented control is preferred over direct vector control because of more accuracy over a whole speed range. Generally, the fixed gain PI controllers are used for generating torque and flux components from speed and flux errors. It is simple and gives stable operation in wide speed range. However, unexpected change in load conditions or environmental factors would produce overshoot, oscillation of motor speed, oscillation of the torque, long settling time and thus causes deterioration of the drive performance. Also the accurate tuning of PI controllers demand the accurate mathematical model of system, otherwise it takes time. Fig. 4 shows the vector control simulation model of induction motor.



The induction motor is fed by a current-controlled PWM inverter which is built using a Universal Bridge block. The motor drives a mechanical load characterized by inertia J, friction coefficient B, and load torque TL. The speed control loop uses a proportional-integral controller to produce the quadrature-axis current reference iq* which controls the motor torque. The motor flux is controlled by the direct-axis current reference id*. Block DQ-ABC is used to convert id* and iq* into current references ia*, ib*, and ic* for the current regulator. Current and Voltage Measurement blocks provide signals for visualization purpose. Motor current, speed, and torque signals are available at the output of the 'Asynchronous Machine' block.

5. Results and Analysis

The proposed PSO and CPSO algorithm are tested for 30 numbers of particles and run on Matlab 7.5. For obtaining the optimum solution of the proposed PI controller, P and I coefficients are considered for controller as two dimensions of PSO algorithm and for high accuracy and achieving almost precise adjustments to conduct the PSO algorithm. Kp is

taken between 0 and 100 and Ki considered between 0 and 8. The simulation results have been obtained with 50 runs while considering the followings parameters .

| Table-I | | |
|---------------------|-----|--|
| Number of particles | 30 | |
| Wmin | 0.4 | |
| Wmax | 0.9 | |
| No. of iteration | 50 | |

The objective characteristic of CPSO is obtain as shown in fig.5



We obtained Optimum solution of $K_p = 87.8184$ and $K_i = 6.7318$ using IWIPSO.

| Table-II | | |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | PSO | WIPSO |
| | No. of particles 20 | No. of particles 20 |
| K _p | 88.0184 | 87.8184 |
| Ki | 6.9818 | 6.7318 |



Fig. 6 Vector controlled IM characteristic without tuning of PI parameters







Fig. 7 Vector controlled IM characteristic with tuning of PI parameters (Kp=87.8, Ki=6.9)

The results obtained by using vector control shown in fig. 6 and 7 respectively, fig 7 shows that the over damped problem arises in PI controller is overcome when the optimum tuning value of PI parameter used.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we have obtained optimum solution of the proposed PI controller parameter using PSO and IWIPSO technique. Simulation results for this optimized controller show that in different speed references and for different loads, speed can follows its reference values without any overshoot at minimum time. According simulation results, CPSO is a Powerful algorithm to approximate the PI controller coefficient.

References

- [1] Z.-L. Gaing, A particle swarm optimization approach for optimum design of PID controller in AVR system, IEEE Trans. Energy Con. 19 (June (2)) (2004) 384–391.
- [2] Boeringer, D. W., & Werner, D. H. (2004). Particle swarm optimization versus genetic algorithms for phased array synthesis. IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation (52), 771-779.
- [3] M. Nasir Uddin, and Sang Woo Nam, "New Online Loss-Minimization-Based Control of an Induction Motor Drive," IEEE Trans. Power electronics, Vol. 23, No. 2, pp. 926-933, 2008.
- [4] M. Azizur Rahman, Rasoul M. Milasi, C. Lucas, B. Nadjar Araabi and T. S. Radwan, "Implementation of Emotional Controller for Interior Permanent-Magnet Synchronous Motor Drive," IEEE Trans. industry application, Vol. 44, No. 5, pp. 1466-1476, 2008.
- [5] Mohammad Reza Khalghani and Karim Beyki, "An Intelligent Controller for Optimal Vector Control of Induction Motor", IEEE International Conference on Computer Applications and Industrial Electronics, pp. 78-81, 2011.

- [6] Solly Aryza and Ahmed N Abdallah, "A Fast Induction Motor Speed Estimation based on Hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization (HPSO)", 2012 International Conference on Solid State Devices and Materials Science, pp. 2009-2016, 2012.
- [7] An-Ming Lee, Li-Chen Fu, Chin-Yu Tsai, and Yu-Chao Lin, "Nonlinear Adaptive Speed and Torque Control of Induction Motors with Unknown Rotor Resistance". IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, Vol. 48, No. 2, pp. 391-402, April 2001.
- [8] Sangshin Kwak and Hamid A. Toliyat, "A Hybrid Solution for Load-Commutated-Inverter-Fed Induction Motor Drives", IEEE Transactions on industry applications, vol. 41, No. 1, pp. 83-91, January/February 2005.
- [9] Francesco Alonge, Filippo D'Ippolito and Antonino Sferlazza, "Sensorless Control of Induction-Motor Drive Based on Robust Kalman Filter and Adaptive Speed Estimation", IEEE transactions on industrial electronics, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 1444-1454, march 2014.
- [10]Bose, B.K., "Modern Power Electronics and AC Drives", Pearson Education, Inc., India, 2002.
- [11] Mitsukura, Y., Yamamoto T., and Kaneda M., "A design of selftuning PID controllers using a genetic algorithm", in Proc. Amer. Contr. Conf., San Diego, CA, June 1999, p. 1361- 1365.
- [12]Popov A., Farag A., and Werner H., "Tuning of a PID controller Using a Multi-objective Optimization Technique Applied to A Neutralization Plant", 44th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, and the European Control Conference 2005.
- [13]Kim T. H., Maruta I., and Sugie T., "Robust PID controller tuning based on the constrained particle swarm optimization", Automatica, Vol. 44, Issue 4, Apr. 2008, p. 1104 - 1110.

BIOGRAPHIES



Rajesh Malwiya received BE in Electronics & Instrumentation Engineering from Jai Narain College Of Technology Bhopal India in 2010 and Pursuing M.Tech in Control System from LNCT, Bhopal India. His areas of interest are Control system, Power Electronics & Instrumentation. He has published 1 paper in International Journal.

E-mail address:- rajesh.malwiya@gmail.com



Vivek Rai received BE in Electrical & Electronics Engineering from Truba Institute of Engineering & Information Technology, Bhopal India in the year 2005 and completed M.Tech in Control System in the year 2012 from LNCT, Bhopal India. Currently he is Working

as an Associate Professor in Electrical & Electronics Engineering department In LNCT Bhopal India. His areas of interest are power system, Electromagnetic Theory and power electronics. He has published 2 papers In national Journal & 1 Paper In International Journal.

E-mail address:- vivek11dec1982@gmail.com